
WELCOME!
HEAL UTAH
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT ALLIANCE OF UTAH

HEAL UTAH
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT ALLIANCE OF UTAH

CLEAN AIR NOW!

i ♥ clean air
healutah.org

Our Goal: To protect communities from air pollution

AIR QUALITY

HEAL UTAH
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT ALLIANCE OF UTAH

POWER UTAH WITH CLEAN ENERGY!

i ♥ Clean Energy
healutah.org

Our Goal: To Build an inclusive clean energy future

RENEWABLE ENERGY



HEAL UTAH
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT ALLIANCE OF UTAH


KEEP UTAH WASTE FREE

Our Goal: To Protect communities from radioactive and toxic exposures.

TOXIC AND RADIOACTIVE

Working towards a cleaner, healthier future

- A safer, cleaner, and healthier future
- Prioritization of public health and environment, public welfare, and robust community engagement with impacted communities
- Remediate past harms including cleaning up abandoned mines and sources of toxic pollution
- Clear and enforceable legislation for mining and industry
- Celebrating the reauthorization and expansion of RECA
- Future safeguards to ensure these violations never happen again
- Asking questions and ensuring best practices as Utah's pursues untested nuclear energy projects




Protecting Utah's Health and Environment for 27+ YEARS

For **over 27 years**, HEAL has fought alongside Utahns across the state to protect public health, increase monitoring, and support communities threatened by radioactive and toxic hazards,

- Environmental Health
- Divine Strake Test
- Italian waste (2007)
- Blue Castle Nuclear Plant
- Skull Valley High Level Waste Site
- Depleted Uranium
- EnergySolutions
- Radiation Exposure Compensation Act
- Uranium mining and Energy Fuels Mill in White Mesa



UTAH'S NUCLEAR HISTORY



Credit: Pat Bagley, Caglecartoons.Com

Pat Bagley, Caglecartoons.Com

HEAL UTAH
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT ALLIANCE OF UTAH


UTAH'S URANIUM HISTORY



A rancher's free range goat eating plants outside the EnergyFuels owned Energy Queen Mine in La Sal Utah, 2022




Top image from White Mesa Concern Community's Annual Spiritual Walk and Protest protesting the White Mesa Mill, bottom image



HEAL Utah's map of Utah's uranium industries including abandoned uranium mines listed by the EPA, Superfund sites, and Low Level Waste Disposal and Uranium Mill Facilities

Radiation Exposure Compensation Act



Map from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.11040.pdf

- Advocacy Win: HEAL Utah helped secure RECA's reauthorization and expansion to cover the entire state of Utah.
- Science-Backed Action: Elevated new research proving fallout reached all Utah communities.
- Community Partnership: Worked with downwinders and uranium-impacted residents for decades to achieve recognition and justice.
- Public Guidance: Provides resources and support to help Utahns access RECA benefits safely.
- Ongoing Commitment: Continues pushing to extend RECA beyond its 2027 expiration.

Then

Utah Governor Opposes Goshute Plan To Store Nuclear Waste for Eight Utilities

By John J. FialkaStaff Reporter of The Wall Street Journal

GREENWIRE

Gift article

Utah Gov.-elect vows not to allow storage of Class B or C materials

12/17/2004 12:00 AM EST

As officials at Envirocare of Utah -- the state's only
waste disposal facility -- announced Wednesday tha
agreement to sell the company to a New York invest
Jon Huntsman (R) reiterated his position that he w
radioactive waste to be disposed of in the state.

"I will commit to you -- it won't happen under my w
pronouncement came as officials at Cedar Mountai
which is planning to build a disposal facility in Tool
might seek to import B and C waste.

STORY

Utah Governor Tries to Halt Uranium Waste Shipment State

· Associated Press

Published December 15, 2009 2:19pm EST | Updated January 14, 2015 12:39am EST

+ Add Fox News on Google

SALT LAKE CITY – Utah Gov. Gary Herbert is sending Energy Secretary Steven Chu a letter

nemluvio
(nemolizumab-ilto) for injection
30 mg

Now

The Salt Lake Tribune

Lands that Trump cut from Bears Ears were opened to mining claims last week. Prospectors filed 16 that same day.

Environmental groups decry activities that might disturb tribal and cultural resources at the site, but local miners say the lands were meant for multiple uses.

EXCLUSIVE


A startup wants to build a massive nuclear-powered data center on public land in Utah

UPDATED SEPTEMBER 30, 2026 · 5:08 PM ET

HEARD ON ALL THINGS CONSIDERED


Geoff Brumfiel

4-Minute Listen + PLAYLIST TRANSCRIPT ···



Utah wants to build a massive federal nuclear facility near Great Salt Lake

"Today, Utah is choosing energy abundance," Gov. Spencer Cox said. "If you are serious about energy abundance, you have to be serious about nuclear energy."



08.10.26


Huffman, Dexter Open Investigation into Insider Trading at Energy Fuels After Trump Guts Bears Ears


Energy Fuels CEO bought 74,000 shares days before the monument was cut by 90 percent, the largest insider purchase in company history

Proposed Sites Within the State

Utah has identified the Corridor as a preliminary location of interest for the Innovation Campus and all related functions, including a long-term storage facility suitable for HLW and UNF. A representation of the lands included in the Corridor is mapped in *Figure 2*.

FIGURE 2 | TOOELE COUNTY CORRIDOR







Concerns about Utah's Current Nuclear Push






Transmission lines near Delta, Utah on Monday, Feb. 3, 2025. (Photo by Spenser Heaps for Utah News Dispatch)

Fact-checking an industry


- Marketed as a climate fix
- Economic and Financial Risk
- Slow timelines
- Lack of Transparency and Public Participation
- Long Term Toxic Waste Management
- Increased Public Health Risks
  - Declining federal radiation safety standards
- Increased Security Risks

QUESTIONS WE SHOULD ASK:

- **Is Nuclear energy an effective climate solution?**
- **When will commercial nuclear power come online and what will we use in the meantime?**
- **How much will it cost and who will pay for it?**
- **How are impacted communities involved and represented in decision-making?**
- **How and where will radioactive waste be stored? How will it be transported there?**
- **Who will bear the burdens of uranium mining and milling, fuel fabrication and final waste disposal?**
- **What health, safety, and security risks does nuclear energy pose?**
- **What could we rely on instead for our energy needs?**




Is Nuclear Energy an effective Climate Change Solution?



We need Clean Energy Solutions that are:

- **Affordable**
- **Reliable**
- **Equitable**
- **Carbon Free**
- **Fast to deploy**
- **Complementary to other sources**
- **Involve transparent processes and include public input**





When will a commercial nuclear power plant come online?
What energy source will we use until then?

Timeline

- 2030 as a critical date for reducing emissions (IPCC)
- Significant delays, slow timeline compared with renewables
- What do we burn as we wait for it?
  - Fossil Fuels-->Air Pollution and Greenhouse Gases


Figure DS-6. Westinghouse AP1000 Estimated vs. Actual Construction Schedule Durations[44]



Source: Publicly available data on estimated construction schedules and actual data from IAEA PRIS database, https://pris.iaea.org/PRIS/WorldStatistics/OperationalReactorsByCountry.aspx.

Timeline

- What happens after 2013?
  - Massive, unprecedented renewables development
  - Why per capita?
- Current average time to deploy a nuclear reactor:
  - 10 years (12 in US)
- Current average time to deploy equivalent solar plus storage?
  - 2-3 years



(From recent J. Lovering talk)

Here in Utah…

- Hunter and Huntington in 2023

The Salt Lake Tribune

Why is Rocky Mountain Power closing its Utah coal plants? Here's what we know.

The utility company plans to replace its coal-fired power plants in Utah with nuclear and renewable energy.



Utah News Dispatch

GOV & POLITICS ENVIRONMENT GROWTH & HOUSING PUBLIC SAFETY EDUCATION HEALTH

ECONOMY ENVIRONMENT

PacifiCorp extends the life of Utah coal-powered plants – indefinitely


That plan may change, but the utility is expecting to spend less on renewables compared to last year's plan

BY: **ALIXEL CABRERA** - JANUARY 21, 2025 3:11 PM



- Hunter and Huntington in 2025


Why does this matter? Jobs, economy, community, climate


How much will nuclear power cost?
Who will pay for it?

Cost

- Not competitive cost/MWh compared to available, unsubsidized alternatives like renewables and efficiency (Lazards; Statista)
- Estimated costs have doubled even before a single construction shovel has hit dirt
- Negative learning curve
- Costs currently estimated at 3-8 times greater than for renewables, and rising
- UAMPS/Nuscale case: 75% increase in cost

Figure DS-10. Comparative Costs of SMRs and Renewable Resources[57]




Sourced from: Annual Technology Baseline, Nuclear, NREL, https://atb.nrel.gov/electricity/2024/nuclear (last visited A

What about SMRs/Microreactors?

- SMRs lack economies of scale leading to even higher costs
- Up to 300 MW =approx 150,000-300,000 households at cost of ?
- Not cost-effective to deploy at small scale, would require thousands of iterations
- 2x Utah's current energy capacity = $40 billion

Figure 1: Rising Costs of SMRs in the U.S.



Sources: IEEFA, X-Energy statements to Congress and state of Washington, Bill Gates public statements and TVA 2025 IRP

https://ieefa.org/sites/default/files/2025-10/Colorado%20Transition_October%202025.pdf

Utah News Dispatch

GOV & POLITICS ENVIRONMENT GROWTH & HOUSING PUBLIC SAFETY EDUCATION HEALTH

GOV & POLITICS

Glowing pains: Developing nuclear power could cost Utah tens of billions


BY: ERIC S. PETERSON, UTAH INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM PROJECT

6:02 AM

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.11048.pdf

Financial Risk and Who Pays

- Terrapower/Natrium reactor may cost $10 billion--$4 billion in federal subsidies
- Nuscale Reactors tripled in price to ratepayers before it collapsed
-
- 2x Utah's current energy capacity = $40 billion




https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/other/nuclear-stocks-have-crashed-35-to-88-from-their-highs-heres-what-1000-in-each-of-these-5-could-be-worth-by-2030/ar-AA2gwlcA


How are impacted communities/ the public involved and represented?

Transparency and Public Participation

- No impacted community/public health representation on Energy Council
- Public process and taxpayer oversight lacking (RFPs?)
- “Trust the NRC”
- Fast-tracking permitting and regulation
- Rewrite of DOE Radiation Rules
- DOE Categorical Exclusion for new advanced reactors



EXCLUSIVE

The Trump administration has secretly rewritten nuclear safety rules

UPDATED JANUARY 28, 2026 · 2:03 PM ET

HEARD ON MORNING EDITION



Geoff Brumfiel

Transparency and Public Participation

Added ~~Removed~~ Changed

CURRENT RULES

DOE O 458.1 Radiation Protection Of The Public And The Environment

PROTECTION OF DRINKING WATER AND GROUND WATER

Ground water must be protected from radiological contamination to ensure compliance with dose limits in the Order and consistent with ALARA process requirements. To this end, DOE must ensure that:

(a) Baseline conditions of the ground water quantity and quality are documented;

(b) Possible sources of, and potential for, radiological contamination are identified and assessed;

(c) Strategies to control radiological contamination are documented and implemented;

(d) Monitoring methodologies are documented and

NEW RULES

NE O 458.1A Radiation Protection Of The Public And The Environment

~~PROTECTION OF DRINKING WATER AND~~ GROUND WATER

Consideration must be given to avoiding or minimizing potential radiological contamination of groundwater from NE-authorized nuclear facilities and radiological activities, to ensure compliance with public dose limits in this Order ~~and consistent with ALARA process requirements~~. To this end ~~, DOE must ensure that:~~

(i) baseline conditions of the ground water quantity and quality may be considered;

(ii) potential sources of ~~, and potential for,~~ radiological contamination may be identified ~~and assessed;~~

(iii) strategies to control radiological groundwater contamination may be developed, as appropriate;

~~(c) Ground water monitoring activities are~~ (iv) monitoring

- Over 750 pages of regs cut
- Protection of groundwater is “given consideration” rather than mandated
- Monitoring “may” be done “as appropriate”
- Release of radioactive materials and adverse impacts deregulated
- Threshold for worker safety lowered
- Security rules slashed

Your https://www.npr.org/2026/01/28/nx-s1-5677187/nuclear-safety-rules-rewritten-trumpparagraph text

Transparency and Public Participation

world nuclear news

Home · Energy & Environment · New Nuclear · Regulation & Safety · Nuclear Policies · Corporate · Uranium

HOME / NUCLEAR POLICIES

US to exclude advanced nuclear from NEPA environmental process

Wednesday, 4 February 2026

The Department of Energy has announced a "categorical exclusion for authorisation, siting, construction, operation, reauthorisation, and decommissioning of advanced nuclear reactors for inclusion in its National Environmental Policy Act implementing procedures".



Loosening radiation exposure rules won't speed up nuclear energy production

Relaxing radiation safety standards could place women and children at higher risks of health issues

BY KATY HUFF



Map from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.11040.pdf


How and where will the radioactive waste be stored?

Waste:

- Nuclear power creates radioactive waste that remains hazardous for thousands of years.
- How to plan for spent fuel storage on that scale?
- How to communicate to future generations?
- Deep geologic repository?



Finland's Onkalo will store 6500 tons of spent fuel at a cost of roughly $4-5 billion. Currently the US has a 90,000 tons of existing (high level) spent nuclear fuel, We have no permanent repository for high level nuclear waste. Therefore spent fuel will be stored on-site.

Waste: Why Utah?

https://cowboystatedaily.com/2026/01/13/experts-clash-over-reprocessing-nuclear-waste-claims-as-wyoming-remains-wary/

- Governor Greg Abbott of Texas: "*[I] will not let Texas become America's dumping ground for deadly radioactive waste.*"

Utah Full Steam Ahead On Nuclear Industry While Wyoming Avoids


The 2026 legislative season is ramping up, and Utah lawmakers are fully embracing the nuclear industry while Wyoming legislators appear to be avoiding the subject.



David Madison
January 13, 2026 • 9 min read




What about reprocessing?




Source: Arjun Makhijani, IEER: https://ieer.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/2026/02/Review-of-commerical-reprocessing-Arjun-Makhijani-January-2026.pdf

System	Spent fuel or High-level waste	GTCC waste	Total repository waste	Low-level waste	Annual radiological transports (rail + truck)
LWR once-through	70,990	2,500	73,490	150,000 to 585,000	165,000
LWR with reprocessing	52,000	407,000	459,000	1,740,000 to 2,175,000	1,224,000
Ratio with/without reprocessing	0.73	163	6.2	3.7 to 11.6	7.4

Map from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.11040.pdf


Who will bear the risks of uranium mining, milling, fuel processing, and waste disposal?

Environmental and Human Health Risks



Short term or acute exposure to radioactive and toxic materials:

Acute exposure to high levels of radioactivity or industrial chemicals can produce immediate symptoms like nausea, burns, breathing impairments, and even mortality

Long term or continuous exposure to radioactive and toxic materials:

These materials persist at harmful levels in our environment for decades and centuries. Even after decades of decay, just a few minutes of unshielded exposure to some forms of radioactive and toxic waste could deliver lethal doses of contaminants. Increases lifetime risk of cancers, birth defects, and other diseases.

Almayahi, Basim. (2017). Biomarkers of Natural Radionuclides in the Bone and Teeth. 10.1007/978-94-007-7693-7_25.

Environmental and Human Health Risks

- Researchers found that between 2000 and 2018, roughly 20,600 cancer cases in MA were attributable to living near a nuclear power plant.
- Risks sharply declined at 30 km out

HARVARD T.H. CHAN | SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Degrees & Programs Admissions Faculty & Research

Home / News / Cancer risk may increase with proximity to nuclear power plants

Cancer

Cancer risk may increase with proximity to nuclear power plants


By Maya Brownstein · December 18, 2025



Environmental and Human Health Risks

Radiation rules were written for a standard size man - not for women or children, who are disproportionately affected by ionizing radiation.


GENERATIONAL **RADIATION IMPACT** PROJECT



https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03080188.2019.1603864

Why HEAL is concerned - Utah's Contamination

- Utah currently ranks 2nd highest in the nation for total toxic releases per square mile.
- Contamination of air, land, and water, whether through mining, dumping of waste, nuclear testing, or other releases, harms plants and animals and builds up in our food chain.
- Multiple pathways of exposure increase overall health risks for communities, as they inhale, ingest, and come in direct contact with these materials.
- Many of these contaminated sites including mines, mills, and waste dumps, were selected due to the populations that reside nearby.
- Communities that live near contaminated sites are often socially and economically disadvantaged and cope with generations of exposure and illnesses, and often lack the resources needed to obtain remediation efforts needed to protect their families from exposures.



Utah ranks **5 out of 56** states/territories nationwide based on total releases per square mile (Rank 1 = highest releases)

EPA 2022 TRI Factsheet: State – Utah

Data Source: 2022 Dataset (released October 2023)


How safe is nuclear power?
What risks does it pose?

Safety Concerns

- Severe accident potential
- Climate vulnerability

TABLE 2. How NLWRs Compare with LWRs on Safety, Sustainability, and Proliferation Risk

NLWR Types	Safety	Sustainability		Nuclear Proliferation/ Terrorism
		Long-Lived Waste Generation	Resource Efficiency	
Sodium-Cooled Fast Reactors				
Conventional burner or breeder (Plutonium/TRU, with reprocessing)	---	++	+	---
Conventional: Natrium (HALEU, once-through)	---	--	--	--
Breed-and-burn mode (HALEU, once-through)	---	--	++	+
High-Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactors				
Prismatic-block (HALEU, once-through)	N	-	-	-
Pebble-bed: Xe-100 (HALEU, once-through)	N	-	-	--
Molten Salt–Fueled Reactors				
Thermal: IMSR/TAP (LEU <5% U-235)	---	+	-	-
Thermal: Thorcon (HALEU/Thorium/U-233)	---	-	+	--
Thermal: Molten Salt Breeder (HALEU/Thorium/U-233)	---	++	++	---
Molten Salt Fast Reactor (TRU/Thorium/U-233)	---	+++	++	---

Significantly Worse | Moderately Worse | Slightly Worse | Not Enough Information
Slightly Better | Moderately Better | Significantly Better

Union of Concerned Scientists

Climate Energy Transportation Food Nuclear Weapons

REPORTS & MULTIMEDIA / REPORT

"Advanced" Isn't Always Better

Assessing the Safety, Security, and Environmental Impacts of Non-Light-Water Nuclear Reactors

Edwin Lyman



Global Security

- Proliferation risk from nuclear energy programs (India, Iran, etc.)
- Weapons capability of plutonium, enrichment, reprocessing, and HALEU
- Vulnerability of nuclear plants as targets

It's past time to start protecting US nuclear power reactors from drones

By Henry Sokolski | Analysis | September 3, 2025



Screenshot of a video of the Russian attack on the Zaporizhzhia nuclear power plant



Henry Sokolski

Henry Sokolski is the executive director of the Nonproliferation Policy Education Center in Arlington, Virginia, and author of *China, Russia and the Coming Cool War* (2024). He ... Read More




LT Weekly Tas


War, Energy Departments Team up to Advance Future of Nuclear Power, Military Base Energy Security

Feb. 15, 2026 | By C. Todd Lopez, Pentagon News |

Security: Enrichment and Proliferation risk



https://world-nuclear.org/information-library /nuclear-fuel-cy



https://tutorials.nti.org/nuclear-101/uranium-enrichment/

In Summary:

- **Cost**
- **Timeline**
- **Waste**
- **Transparency and representation**
- **Environmental and public health risk**
- **Security risks**
- **Opportunity cost**

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Energy Research & Social Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/erss

Original research article

One size doesn't fit all: Social priorities and technical conflicts for small modular reactors

M.V. Ramana*, Zia Mian

Nuclear Futures Laboratory and Program on Science and Glob

nature energy

Explore content · About the journal · Publish with us · Subscribe

nature › nature energy › analyses › article

Analysis | Published: 05 October 2020

Differences in carbon emissions reduction between countries pursuing renewable electricity versus nuclear power

Benjamin K. Sovacool, Patrick Schmid, Andy Stirling, Goetz Walter & Gordon MacKerron

Nature Energy **5**, 928–935 (2020) | Cite this article

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.11040.pdf

If not nuclear, then what?



Grist

In a first, Utah got more power from solar than any other source

The state used to run on coal, but now renewables are surging.




In global first, a next-gen geothermal plant goes live at utility scale

Fervo Energy is producing clean power at Cape Station in Utah. It's a big milestone, but the industry must tackle high costs and other barriers in order to scale.




By **Maria Gallucci**
28 September 2026




How to Achieve Reliable, Affordable, Carbon-Free Electricity?

Nuclear investments divert funding and attention from where it is needed in the energy transition

- **Renewables and storage**
  - **Solar + Wind + Battery = cheaper, safer, faster**
  - **Enhanced Geothermal like Fervo Energy = 3 years to grid**
- **Modernized transmission and grid connectivity**
- **Demand side management**
- **Energy efficiency**





Can nuclear power AI Data centers?

- AI is NOW
- Massive Data Center construction and scaling in Utah starting in 2026
- SMRs are 10* years out
  - *optimistically
- Fossil fuels likely in near term-->GHGs and air pollution

- **Near-Term (2025–2029): Reactor Restarts**
  - *Status:* **Feasible but limited.**
  - *Timeline:* 3–5 years.
  - *Examples:* Palisades (Michigan) or Three Mile Island Unit 1.
  - *Constraint:* These require existing sites in good condition with willing local stakeholders.
- **Medium-Term (2030–2035): Gen III+ Large Reactors**
  - *Status:* **Proven technology, difficult execution.**
  - *Timeline:* 10–14 years.
  - *Constraint:* The Vogtle Units 3 & 4 (AP1000) proved that even "off-the-shelf" designs can take a decade and cost $30B+.
- **Long-Term (2035–2045): Advanced SMRs (Gen IV)**
  - *Status:* **Experimental supply chain.**
  - *Timeline:* Factory scaling likely post-2035.
  - *Constraint:* HALEU fuel availability and lack of factory fabrication lines.

If your strategy relies on **SMR timelines for AI data centers** intersecting with your 2028 capacity needs, you are missing the target.

https://www.tonygrayson.ai/post/nuclear-power-for-ai-datacenters#:~:text=When%20will%20SMRs%20be%20ready,measured%20in%20years%2C%20not%20months.Map from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.11040.pdf

WHAT WE NEED:

- **ANSWERS** from our decision makers and industry leaders
- **An independent study bill** that gives us details about jobs, costs, risks associated with Utah pursuing nuclear energy
- **Realistic comparisons** between energy sources
- **Representation** of affected communities in decision-making
- **Independent oversight** from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- **Transparent** decisionmaking

QUESTIONS WE SHOULD ASK:

- **How much will this project cost?**
- **When** will it come online and what will we use in the meantime?
- **How much power** will it provide?
- **Do the operators have experience** building and operating a commercial reactor?
- **Where will radioactive waste be stored?**
- **Who will bear the burdens** of uranium mining and milling, cost, and waste disposal?
- How **are local communities being included** in decision-making?



HEAL UTAH
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT ALLIANCE OF UTAH

What is HEAL Doing:

Webinars and Experts!!

Check out this QR Code or search for HEAL Utah on YouTube for some incredible webinars with experts from Utah and around the nation.

Our most recent webinar, "The Climate Clock: Can Nuclear Deliver in Time?" was an incredible presentation highlighting many issues with the industry.

